Page 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



BRIAN HUNTER, : Docket No. CV07-1307 (RJL)

August 7, 2007

Plaintiff,

: 3:15 p.m.

:

v.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY :

COMMISSION,

Defendant. :

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD J. LEON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: MICHAEL S. KIM, ESQ.

MATTHEW I. MENCHEL, ESQ. NATALIE H. ELSBERG, ESQ.

Kobre & Kim, LLP

800 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022

For the Defendant: MEGAN ROSE, ESQ.

LESLIE BETH BELLAS, ESQ.

U.S. Attorney's Office 555 4th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20530

PATRICK TODD MULLINS, ESQ.

LEE ANN WATSON, ESQ.

Federal Energy Regulatory

Commission

888 First Street, N.E.

Washington, D.C. 20426

Page 2

Court Reporter:

PATTY ARTRIP GELS, RMR

Official Court Reporter

Room 4800-C, U.S. Courthouse

Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 962-0200

ALSO PRESENT:

BRIAN HUNTER

SHONDELL SABAD

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

Page 43

- 1 this point, a preliminary injunction. The irreparable harm
- 2 arguments are obviously relevant when your Honor is considering
- 3 the preliminary injunction, but however your Honor comes out on
- 4 that, and I urge your Honor to recognize the value of
- 5 Mr. Hunter's business as it stands today -- however your Honor
- 6 comes out on that, your Honor can reach the ultimate legal
- 7 issues of jurisdiction and statutory interpretation and issue a
- 8 declaratory judgment without regard necessarily to the
- 9 irreparable injury issues because the Declaratory Judgment Act
- 10 and elements thereof exist independently, there is an actual
- 11 controversy between the parties about interpretation of law that
- 12 will affect our future interests. And I believe that is a
- 13 question that your Honor can reach based on the record that's
- 14 put before you.
- 15 If your Honor has any questions, I would be happy to
- 16 answer them. Otherwise, I will stop replying.
- 17 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Kim and
- 18 Mr. Mullins. We get arguments in this court pretty regularly,
- 19 and unfortunately they are not at the same level as the ones
- 20 presented today. I want to compliment both sides for their fine
- 21 work.
- The Court will obviously take it under advisement.
- 23 It's not a simple question. It's a question that needs to be
- 24 carefully looked at and evaluated. And I appreciate your
- 25 briefs. And the best I can say is I will give you a ruling as

```
Page 44
     fast as I can give it to you. That's about the best I can say.
 1
 2
     So I will try to get you something as quickly as possible, but
     it won't be in the next few days. I promise you that.
 3
              So thank you for your hard work, Counsel. We will
 4
     stand in recess.
 5
 6
 7
               (Whereupon, at 4:15 p.m., the proceedings were
     concluded.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 45
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, Patty A. Gels, certify that the foregoing is a
5	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
6	above-entitled matter.
7	
8	
9	
10	<u>Pattales</u>
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	